

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member




REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – SPT02 24/25

Decision	
1	Title of decision: THE CITY OF PLYMOUTH (OFF-STREET PARKING PLACES) (AMENDMENT ORDER NO. 2024.2137319 STONEHOUSE CREEK) ORDER
2	Decision maker: Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)
3	Report author and contact details: Amy Neale, Senior Traffic Management Technician, email: trafficmanagementinbox@plymouth.gov.uk
4	<p>Decision to be taken:</p> <p>To implement amendments to The City of Plymouth (Off-Street Parking Places) Order 2007 (as amended).</p> <p>The effect of the order shall be to:</p> <p>Introduce permit parking and pay and display charges of £1 per hour, up to a 5 hour maximum stay (permit holders exempt) at Stonehouse Creek car park.</p>
5	<p>Reasons for decision:</p> <p>To implement parking controls that seek to ensure parking is available for visitors of the local sporting facilities whilst protecting the use of permit parking to support of local businesses and facilities.</p> <p>The proposals provide additional managed car parking capacity (at peak times) and support the transformational investment into new sport and leisure infrastructure at Brickfields.</p> <p>The proposal support Pétanque through the provision of dedicated managed parking supporting the clubs use of the facility.</p>
6	<p>Alternative options considered and rejected:</p> <p>Not to implement the proposals is rejected as this would not support the accessibility and use of the sporting facilities or the investment into new sport and leisure infrastructure at Brickfields.</p>
7	<p>Financial implications and risks:</p> <p>There are one-off set up costs of £29k to implement the proposals which relates to bay markings, signage, and payment systems. This is to be funded by Land and Property. It's not possible to accurately forecast the income to be received through the introduction of pay and</p>

	display parking, all operating costs are expected to be met through income received from the pay and display parking and through the existing licenced parking arrangements.			
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support for further advice)	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:
			x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million
		x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.	
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions	N/A		
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	These proposals support the Councils Corporate Plan, specifically through providing quality public services and spending money wisely.		
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	Any environmental impact resulting from the implementation of these proposals, and the traffic management plan, are expected to be favourable as this will introduce greater controls to manage parking and encourage the use of more sustainable travel choices.		
Urgent decisions				
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)
		No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)
12a	Reason for urgency:			
12b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date	

	Scrutiny Committee name:			
	Print Name:			
Consultation				
I3a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?	Yes		
		No	x	(If no go to section I4)
I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?	N/A		
I3c	Date Cabinet member consulted	N/A		
I4	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?	Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer
		No	x	
I5	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Name	Anthony Payne	
		Job title	Strategic Director for Place	
		Date consulted	08/07/2024	
Sign-off				
I6	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Democratic Support (mandatory)	DS24 24/25	
		Finance (mandatory)	DJN.24.25.051	
		Legal (mandatory)	LS/2960(12)/JP/180724	
		Human Resources (if applicable)	N/A	
		Corporate property (if applicable)	JW 0120 0//07/24	
		Procurement (if applicable)	N/A	
Appendices				
I7	Ref.	Title of appendix		
	A	Briefing report		

	B	Equalities Impact Assessment						
Confidential/exempt information								
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?	Yes		If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') briefing report and indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in 18b below. (Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)				
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
		Exemption Paragraph Number						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title:							
Background Papers								
19	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below. Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.							
Title of background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cabinet Member Signature								
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.							
Signature			Date of decision	23/07/2024				
Print Name	Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)							