# **Planning Committee**

# Thursday 23 January 2025

#### PRESENT:

Councillor Penrose, in the Chair.
Councillor Allen, Vice Chair.
Councillors Mrs Bridgeman, Darcy, Freeman, Goslin, McCarty, McNamara, Raynsford (Substitute for Councillor Ney), M.Smith and Ms Watkin.

Apologies for absence: Councillors Sproston, Stevens, and Ney.

Also in attendance: Stuart Wingfield (Head of Development Management), Julie Parkin (Senior Lawyer), Emily Godwin (Planning Officer), Alex Copsey (Historic Environment Officer), Councillor Allison (Ward Councillor/Referrer, item 6.1) Warren Kressinger-Dunn (Applicant, item 6.1), Daniel Rouse (Objector, item 6.1), and Elliot Wearne-Gould.

The meeting started at 4.30 pm and finished at 5.27 pm.

Note: At a future meeting, the committee will consider the accuracy of these draft minutes, so they may be subject to change. Please check the minutes of that meeting to confirm whether these minutes have been amended.

# Appointment of a Vice-Chair

As apologies had been received from Councillor Stevens (Chair), Councillor Penrose (Vice-Chair) would Chair the meeting today.

Councillor Penrose proposed that Councillor Allen be appointed Vice-Chair for this particular meeting. This was seconded by Councillor Darcy, and <u>agreed</u> by the Committee.

#### 62. **Declarations of Interest**

There was one declaration of interest made:

Councillor	ltem	Interest	Description
Raynsford	6. l	Pre-determined	Councillor Raynsford considered
			she had pre-determined the
			application and would leave the
			room at the start of this item.

### 63. **Minutes**

The Committee <u>agreed</u> the minutes of the meeting held on 12 December 2024 as a correct record, subject to the correction of a grammatical error under minute 52.

# 64. Chair's Urgent Business

There were no items of Chair's urgent business.

## 65. Questions from Members of the Public

There were no questions from members of the public.

# 66. Planning Applications for consideration

The Committee considered the following applications, development proposals by local authorities and statutory consultations submitted under the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990, and the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservations Areas) Act, 1990.

# 67. 24/00920/FUL - Vine Hotel, 5 Admirals Hard, PLI 3RJ

(Councillor McCarty arrived at the start of this item.) (Councillor Raynsford left at the start of this item)

Applicant: Mr Warren Kressinger-Dunn

Ward: Devonport (St Peter and the Waterfront)

Decision: Granted Conditionally

Emily Godwin (Planning Officer) highlighted an error within paragraph 28 of the officer's report, which stated that 'The site itself is identified within the "views" outlined in the CAAMP'. This should have instead read 'the site itself is not identified within the "views" outlined in the CAAMP'.

Emily Godwin (Planning Officer) delivered the report to the Committee.

Councillor Lewis Allison Spoke to the application, as ward councillor/referrer.

Mr Warren Kressinger Dunn spoke to the application, as the applicant.

Mr Daniel Rouse Spoke to the application, as an objector.

Following discussions, the Committee <u>agreed</u> to grant the application subject to the conditions set out in the report.

For (7)

Councillors Allen, Mrs Bridgeman, Goslin, McCarty, McNamara. M. Smith and Ms Watkin.

Against (2)

Councillors Darcy and Freeman.

Absent/Did Not Vote (2)

Councillors Penrose and Raynsford.

# 68. Planning Application Decisions Issued

(Councillor Raynsford returned at the start of this item)

The Committee <u>noted</u> the report from the Assistant Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure on decisions issued for the period 13 December 2024 to 13 January 2025.

# 69. Appeal Decisions

Stuart Wingfield (Head of Development Management) delivered an update to the Committee and discussed:

a) The Appeal Decision for the Petersfield Close application, which had previously come before committee, had been 'allowed' by the Inspectorate. The Inspector agreed with members refusal reasons (impact on character and appearance, impact on biodiversity, & being contrary to the development plan) however, gave significant weight to the extant planning permission from the 1960's, resulting in its approval.

The Committee <u>noted</u> the schedule of appeal decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate.

## 70. Exempt Business

There were no items of exempt business.

