## **EXECUTIVE DECISION**

## made by a Cabinet Member



## REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L46 24/25

Dec	cision					
ı	Title of decision: A38 Manadon Interchange Design Development Funding					
2	Decision maker: Councillor Tudor Evans OBE, Leader of the Council					
3	Report author and contact details: Sally Farley, Strategic Transport Manager					
	Tel. 01752 307652 Email. sally.farley@plymouth.gov.uk					
4	Decision to be taken:					
	It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:					
	Approves the business case					
	Allocates £1,959,000 to the Capital Programme, funded by:					
	<ul> <li>Department for Transport annual grant (Integrated Transport Block Funding) of £1,959,000</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Delegates Contract Award decisions to the Service Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure where he does not already have authority to do so.</li> </ul>					
5	Reasons for decision:					
	This request is for £1,959,000 to be placed on the capital programme to progress the design of the A38 Manadon Interchange scheme including data collection and modelling.					
	The scheme has engaged in early contractor involvement with Balfour Beatty through the SCAPE framework and an experienced project team have been assembled although the scheme is still at an early stage. It is therefore requested that £1,959,000 be placed on the capital programme to allow the scheme design process to continue.					
	The identified funding is from monies already identified for the scheme but not yet on the capital programme, namely the DfT annual grant for 2024/25 (Integrated Transport Block funding).					
	Contract award will be undertaken via a delegated decision by Paul Barnard, Service Director of Strategic Planning and Infrastructure (SP&I), who will also have oversight of the spending of the funding requested.					
6	Alternative options considered and rejected: Not to place £1,959,000 of funding on the capital programme. This option was rejected as the funding is critical to continue the development of the					

Financial Implications and Risks: A business case was approved in December 2019 through Executive Decision L27 19/20 to place the co-development funding £2.82m (£1.89m DfT and £0.93m PCC) onto the capital programme and to proceed to OBC submission for the A38 Manadon Interchange Scheme.

scheme design and to minimise the risk to significant Department for Transport investment.

An additional £200,000 was released through \$151 officer decision and £800,000 of funding was approved through Executive Decision L44 21/22.

£1,224,000 was approved in 2022/23 through Executive Decision L33 22/23.

£2,457,838 was placed on the capital programme in January 2025 through Executive Decision L28 24/25 to allow time critical surveys to go ahead.

This option to place £1,959,000 on the capital programme will allow further development of the design, data collection and modelling following the surveys

	data collection and modelling following the surveys							
8	Is the decision a Key Decision?  (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u>	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	for further advice)		X	in the case of <b>capital</b> projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of <b>£3million</b> in total				
			X	in the case of <b>revenue</b> projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of <b>£1 million</b>				
			X	is <b>significant</b> in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising <b>two or more</b> wards in the area of the local authority.				
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions	N/A						
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	Fewer potholes, cleaner, greener streets and transport  The A38 Manadon Interchange currently experiences significant congestion and delays, particularly at peak times, and is one of the worst performing junctions on the South West Strategic Road Network.						
		develo	The Interchange therefore acts as a significant constraint on development and the bringing forward of new jobs and homes within the city, particularly on the Northern Corridor and City Centre.					
		difficul	As well as delays, journey times are unreliable, making it difficult for people to attend appointments in time at Derriford Hospital.					
		Alongside road capacity enhancements, the scheme will provide significant improvements to walking and cycling infrastructure where currently there is no ability for cyclists to cross the A38 at subway level without dismounting.						
		It will also address queueing on the A38 on the approaches to the Manadon junction to reduce the risk of serious accidents on the Parkway and more localised safety measures.						
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	idon Interchange scheme would incorporate frastructure for walking and cycling including a lge over the A38, which will provide a stepties.						

			major Plymoi	The scheme would reduce journey times for buses on the major bus corridor linking the City Centre to northern Plymouth and will reduce carbon emissions from vehicle transport.							
			part of greens	Environmental enhancements are included as an integral part of the scheme, through opening up of areas of greenspace, and providing extensive landscaping and tree-planting.							
				The drainage works would incorporate measures to provide improved resilience to the risk of flooding.							
Urge	ent decisions										
11	implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the				(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)						
	public?		No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)						
12a	Reason for urgency:										
12b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:	Chair									
	Scrutiny Committee name:	ommittee									
	Print Name:										
Cons	sultation										
13a	Are any other	Yes									
	portfolios affected by the decision?			x	(If no go to section 14)						
13b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?			Councillor Mark Coker, Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure							
I3c	Date Cabinet	Cabinet member consulted									
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a		Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring						
	decision?	conflict of interest in relation to the decision?			Officer						
15		rate Management	Name	÷	Karime Hassan						
	Team member has been consulted?			tle	Interim Strategic Director for Growth						
			Date consu	lted	11.02.2025						

Sign	-off											
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:			Democratic Support (mandatory)						JS112 24/25		
			Finance (mandatory)						.24.25.17	<b>'</b> 3		
		Legal	Legal (mandatory)						/4/AC/2			
			Huma	n Res	our	e)						
				Corporate property (if applicable)								
			Procu	reme	nt (i	if applic	able)					
Арр	endic	es										
17	Ref.	Title of appendix										
	Α	The Business Case Part I										
	В	Equality Impact Assessment										
	С	Climate Impact Assessment										
Con	fident	ial/exempt information										
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?		Yes	×	brie	If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Pai briefing report and indicate why it is not publication by virtue of Part Tof Schedule						
			No	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
				ı	Exer	mption	Paragra	ph Nun	Number			
			ı	2		3	4	5	6	7		
I8b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title: Capital Investment Business Case					×						
Back	grour	nd Papers										
19	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.											
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.											
	disclo	ose facts or matters on which the repo offormation is confidential, you must inc	ort or an dicate w	hy it is	not	for publ	the work ication by	is based	. If some	e/all of		
	disclo the ir Scheo	ose facts or matters on which the repo offormation is confidential, you must inc	ort or an dicate w	hy it is y ticki	not	for publ ne releva	the work ication by	is based v virtue o	. If some	e/all of		

Cab	Cabinet Member Signature										
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.										
Signature		Tholar Es	Date of	decision	n 03	7.03.202	5				
Prin	t <b>N</b> ame	Cllr Tudor Evans			'						