EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L53 24/25

Decision Title of decision: Riverside School Phase 2 – Provision of additional Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) capacity Decision maker: Councillor Tudor Evans OBE (Leader of the Council) Report author and contact details: Nathan Sanders, Programme Manager, 01752 307780; Nathan.sanders@plymouth.gov.uk

- 4 Decision to be taken:
 - I. To approve the Business Case Change Request
 - 2. To add £161,000 to the Capital Programme, funded from the SEN / High Needs Grant

5 Reasons for decision:

- 1. This proposal will enable the creation of 30 new SEND places at Riverside School, together with making an existing 20 additional temporary places permanent, resulting in 50 additional places in total
- 2. The additional 50 places that will be created addresses the Council's commitment to increasing SEND capacity within Plymouth, as set out in the <u>Send Sufficiency Plan</u>, endorsed by Cabinet on 9/9/24.

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

Not proceed with project: Rejected because this would not increase SEND capacity.

Create additional places at Marlborough and Weston Mill schools (as per the original business case approval): This was rejected as there were health, safety and welfare considerations at both schools which presented considerable challenges to expanding capacity.

7 Financial implications and risk:

This addition of £161,000 to the capital programme will supplement an existing approval of £150,000 of which £143,000 is remaining. The total project cost is £304,000, all of which is to be funded from the SEN / High Needs Grant.

Risks of the project identified are:

- Failure to complete the works by September 2025, meaning that SEND places will be limited for the 25/26 intake. Mitigation: proceed with project as soon as possible upon approval
- Project costs exceed budget. Mitigation: contingency included within budget

8 Legal Implications

The works are being undertaken under the existing PFI Contract.

	the nurse	ery to ensu	re compliance with the PFI contract. A further				
9a Is the decision a Key Decision? (Please contact Democratic	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
Support for further advice)		X	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total				
		X	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £ I million				
		x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Kenneth Decisions	N/A						
Please specify how this decision linked to the Council's corporat		•	pports the <u>Send Sufficiency Plan</u> and the priorities of:				
plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the		•	n, Adults and Communities Safe;				
revenue/capital budget:		Green Investment, Jobs, Skills, and Better Education; Spending Money Wisely.					
Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)		mate Impac	et Assessment is attached				
Urgent decisions							
Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)				
the public?	No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)				
12a Reason for urgency:							
12b Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date					
Scrutiny Committ ee name:							
Print Name:							
Consultation							

13a	Are any other Cabinet members'		Yes	X					
decision	lios affected by the on?	No		(If no go to section	14)				
13b	1	ch other Cabinet member's folio is affected by the ion?	Councillor Sally Cresswell (Cabinet Member for Education, Skills and Apprenticeships)						
I3c	Date	Cabinet member consulted	19/3/25						
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		Yes If yes, please discuss Officer		If yes, please discuss w Officer	with the Monitoring			
			No	X					
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?		Name		David Haley				
			Job title		Director of Children's	Services			
				lted	19/3/25				
Sign	-off								
16	_	off codes from the relevant	Democratic Support (mandatory)			JS128 24/25			
	departments consulted:		Financ	ce (man	datory)	DJN.24.25.187			
			Legal	(manda	tory)	LS/00001312/1/AC/1 8/3/25			
			Human Resources (if applicable) Corporate property (if applicable)			n/a			
						n/a			
			Procu	rement	(if applicable)	n/a			
Арр	endic	es							
17	Ref.	Title of appendix							
	Α	Briefing report							
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment							
	С	Climate Impact Assessment							
Con	fident	ial/exempt information							
18a		ou need to include any dential/exempt information?	Yes		yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') riefing report and indicate why it is not for				

		No	the rele (Ke	Local Govant box	overnment in 18b be ch inform	of Part Tof t Act 1972 elow. ation as p Il be in the	2 by tickin ossible in	g the the	
		Exemption Paragraph Number							
		I	2	3	4	5	6	7	
I8b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title:			X					
	Business case change request – Riverside Phase 2								
Bacl	kground Papers								
19	Please list all unpublished, background	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.							
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> we disclose facts or matters on which the the information is confidential, you mu Schedule 12A of the Local Government	report or st indicate	an importa why it is r	ant part o ot for pu	of the woi iblication	rk is based	l. If some	/all of	
	Title of background paper(s)		Ex	emption	Paragra	aph Num	ber		
	Title of background paper(s)	I	E xc	emptior	Paragra	aph Num	nber 6	7	
	Title of background paper(s)	ı				<u>-</u>		7	
	Title of background paper(s)	I				<u>-</u>		7	
	Title of background paper(s)	I				<u>-</u>		7	
Cab	Title of background paper(s) inet Member Signature	ı				<u>-</u>		7	
Cab 20		is not conis decision	trary to the late of the late	ane Councern due reination a	dil's policy gard to the	and budgene Councipte good r	et framew l's duty to elations b	vork, etween	
20	inet Member Signature I agree the decision and confirm that it Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking th promote equality of opportunity, elimi people who share protected character	is not con is decision nate unlaw istics unde	trary to the late of the late	ane Councern due reination a lities Act	dil's policy gard to the	and budg ne Counci ote good r e who do	et framew l's duty to elations b	vork, etween	